Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/578,324	KOLARI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657	
All Participants:	cipants: Status of Application: <u>AFTER FAOM</u>		
(1) <u>SATYENDRA K. SINGH</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>GERALD MURPHY (ATTORNEY)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 June 2010</u>	Time: <u>3 <i>PM</i></u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: see attached copy of EXAM. AMEND. faxed to applicants.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: OF THE RECORD			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. Gerald Murphy (pnone- 703-205-8000; x8020 direct) were telephonically contacted and provided (by fax at 703-205-8050; see copy attached) with a proposed examiner's amendment to pending process claims 1-10, 15 and 16 (which were tentatively found to be allowable through an in-house patentability conference with the Primary examiner, Irene Marx and SPE, Jon Weber on 6/16/2010) for applicant's considerations. Mr Murphy, later called the examiner (on June 21<sup>st</sup> 2010) and informed that applicants have accepted the examiner's amendment as proposed.

/Satyendra K. Singh/ Examiner, AU 1657